

Section 2.1 C++11

Braced Init

- As written, the example uses *copy* initialization — `T obj = val;` — and will thus fail to compile if `T` is not implicitly convertible from `U`.
- Switching to *direct* initialization — `T obj(val);` — would allow explicit constructors to also be considered.
- Using *direct list* initialization — `T obj{val};` — would allow aggregates to be supported as well as explicit constructors but not narrowing conversions; `initializer_list` constructors are also considered and preferred.
- Switching to *copy list* initialization — `T obj = {val};` — would allow aggregates to be supported but would result in an error if an explicit constructor is the best match, rather than considering the non**explicit** constructors for the best viable match. An error would arise if a narrowing conversion is required; `initializer_list` constructors are also considered and preferred.

Table 2 summarizes the different initialization types and highlights the options and trade-offs. In general, there is no one true, universal syntax for initialization in generic template code. The library author should make a deliberate choice among the trade-offs described in this section and document that as part of their contract.

Table 2: Summary of the different initialization types

Initialization Type	Syntax	Aggregate Support	Explicit Constructor Used	Narrowing	<code>initializer_list</code> Constructor Used
Copy	<code>T obj = val;</code>	only if <code>T==U</code>	no	allow	no
Direct	<code>T obj(val);</code>	only if <code>T==U</code>	yes	allow	no
Direct List	<code>T obj{val};</code>	yes	yes	error	yes
Copy List	<code>T obj = {val}</code>	yes	error if best match	error	yes

Uniform initialization in factory functions

One of the design concerns facing an author of generic code is which form of syntax to choose to initialize objects of a type dependent on template parameters. ~~Different C++ types behave differently and accept different syntaxes, so providing a single consistent syntax for all cases is not possible.~~ Here we present the different trade-offs to consider when writing a factory function that takes an arbitrary set of type arguments to create an object of a user-specified type: